

Source Document Log
Source Document Discrepancy Log

Drain: JK DUND DRAIN

Main/Arm Name	Document Name	Document ID	Info From Document	If Applicable	
				Document w/ Discrepancy	Description of Discrepancy
JK DUND	COMMISSIONERS' REPORT	12-7-1882			
	VIEWS' REPORT	1927/ 5-1-1923			
	FINAL REPORT	1927/ 7-28-1933			
	WORK ORDER	WO-82-148	CATCH BASIN		

Drain: JR Durdal **Drain #:** 135
Improvement/Arm: _____
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON **Date:** 12-4-03
Drain Classification: Urban Rural **Year Installed:** 1882

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning JL
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains JL
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains n/a
- Digitize & Attribute SSD n/a
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch n/a
- Stamp Plans n/a
- Sum drain lengths & Validate JL
- Enter Improvements into Posse n/a
- Enter Drain Age into Posse JL
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse JL
- Check Database entries for errors JL

Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost Drain Length Log

Drain-Improvement: JR DUND

1923 {
1862 {

Drain Type:	Size:	Length ()	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
TILE	18"	554'	554'		6.50/lf	3601.00
	16"	810'	810'		5.50/lf	4455.00
	4x7"	1820'	1820'		2.00/lf	14560.00
	3x7"	2480'	2480'		2.00/lf	14880.00
	1x7" 1x6"	800'	800'		2.00/lf 1.00/lf	1600.00 800.00

Sum: 6464' 6464' \$39896.00

Final Report: _____

Comments:

5298

Report of Commissioners.

FAVORABLE.

J R Dunn

Report of Commissioners

Filed *Dec 7* " , 1882

J R Robinson

Clerk Circuit Court.

Wm. B. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis.

REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS.

To the Honorable Judge of the Hamilton Circuit Court:

The undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, to whom was referred the petition of Joseph R. Dunn for the drainage of the South west $\frac{1}{4}$ and the North west quarter of the South West quarter section 18 Township 20 north of Range 4 East

in Hamilton County, respectfully report that they have inspected personally all the lands described in said petition, and all other lands liable to be affected by the construction of the proposed work, and are of the opinion that the proposed drainage will improve the public health of that neighborhood, that the same will benefit one or more public highways, that the same will be of public utility, and can be accomplished at an expense less than the aggregate benefits to the lands to be affected thereby, and after causing the necessary surveys and examinations to be made, they determined that the method of drainage should be as follows, to wit:

See page 4 of report

They estimate the cost of constructing the work at One thousand ^{one hundred} ~~sixty one~~ dollars. They further report that they have estimated the benefits and injury

to the several tracts of land which will be affected by the work, as follows:

OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION.	S.	T.	R.	Acres.	Injury.	Benefit.
J. R. Ross & Wife ✓ E. Dunn ✓	Nwgr swgr	18	20	4	40	✓	\$ 335 00
E. Dunn ✓	swgr swgr	18	20	4	40	✓	35 00
D. J. Hayes & Wife ✓ James W. Hayes & Wife ✓	NE gr swgr	18	20	4	40	✓	26 00
Thos. Scully & Wife ✓	SE gr NE gr	13	20	3	40		20 00
Henry Scully & Wife ✓	NE gr SE gr	13	20	3	40		140 00
Charles Roberts Est ✓	Nwgr NE gr	18	20	4	40	✓	65 00
J. Breace & Bishop ✓	N 1/2 SE gr SE gr	13	20	3	20		16 00
Saml. Devaney ✓	Nwgr Nwgr	18	20	4	40	✓	20 00
Saml. Devaney ✓	swgr Nwgr	18	20	4	40	✓	235 00
Saml. Devaney ✓	begin at Nw cor of NE gr NE gr sec 18 T 20 R 4 run east 16 rods south 80 rods west 16 rods north 80 rods to beginning				8	✓	40 00
Eli Roberts ✓	begin at sw cor of SE gr of Nw gr sec 18 T 20 R 4 run north 80 rods E 16 rods south 80 rods west 16 rods to beginning				8	✓	65 00
Geo. Knight ✓	begin 16 rods east of Nw cor of NE gr Nw gr sec 18 T 20 R 4 E run east 26 rods S 80 rods west 26 rods N 80 rods to beginning				13	✓	35 00

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Samuel S. Mills

Commissioners

Owner's Description	Section	Township	Range	Elev	Benefit
Geo Knight begin 16 rods east of the SW corner of S8 gr of N W gr sec 18 T20 R4 run north 80 rods east 26 rods south 80 rods west 26 rods to beginning	98	20	4	13	65.00
Eli Roberts begin at NE cor of N8 gr of N W gr sec 18 T20 R. 4 East run south 80 rods west 38 rods North 80 rods east 38 rods to beginning	18	20	4	19	40.00
Eli Roberts begin at SE corner of S8 gr of N W gr sec 18 T 20 Range 4 East run west 38 rods North 80 rods East 38 rods south 80 rods to beginning	18	20	4	19	110.00
Adams Township Public Highway on Range line between sec 18 & 13	20	39	4		40.00
Arcadia Gravel Road Co benefit to said Road					10.00
Total Assessment					\$1295.00

By the construction of a ditch commencing 61 rods south & N corner SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 18 and Township 20 Range 4 East and run thence

East	550 ft ✓
North 46° East	290 ft ✓
North 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	110 ft ✓
North 10° East	350 ft ✓
North 40° East	200 ft ✓
North 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	200 ft ✓
North 38° East	140 ft ✓
North 34 East	500 ft ✓
North 61° East	110 ft ✓
North 62 $\frac{3}{4}$ East	190 ft ✓
North 45 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	160 ft ✓
North 71° East	200 ft ✓
North 57 $\frac{1}{4}$ East	650 ft ✓
North 41 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	160 ft ✓
North 63° East	90 ft ✓
North East	300 ft ✓
North 52 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	200 ft ✓
North 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	290 ft ✓
North 10° West	110 ft ✓
North	100 ft ✓
North 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	100 ft ✓
North 41 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	100 ft ✓
North 53° East	200 ft ✓
North 36 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	100 ft ✓
North 23 $\frac{1}{2}$ East	250 ft ✓

and terminate 30 rods south and 20 rods north of SE corner SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 7 T. 20, R. 4

The following is a tabulated statement of the depths of cut at each station, the width, & cubic yards

in each station cost per cubic yard
and cost per station of 100 ft.

No	No of station depth of cut width			No cu yards cost per yd cost per station		No of station depth of cut width			No cu yards cost per cost per station	
	No	depth	width	cu yds	cost per yd	No	depth	width	cu yds	cost per station
0	3	20				27	4.7	30	10 1/2	4 25
1	4.0	20	20	16 1/2	2 50	28	4.9	"	38	" 4 75
2	5.5	"	20	"	2 50	29	4.8	"	38	" 4 75
3	3.7	"	16	"	2 00	30	4.8	"	37	" 4 62 1/2
4	2.6	"	10	"	2 00	31	5.0	"	35	" 4 37 1/2
5	2.9	"	10	"	2 00	32	5.0	"	38	" 4 75
6	2.9	"	18	"	2 25	33	5.5	38 in	33	" 4 12 1/2
7	2.5	"	16	"	2 00	34	4.9	"	41	" 5 12 1/2
8	3.7	"	9	"	1 12 1/2	35	5.3	"	45	" 5 62 1/2
9	4.4	30 in	22	"	2 75	36	5.2	"	43	" 5 37 1/2
10	4.2	30	24	"	3 00	37	4.1	"	34	" 4 25
11	4.5	30	24	"	3 00	38	3.8	"	31	" 3 87 1/2
12	4.3	"	20	"	2 50	39	3.3	"	40	" 5 00
13	4.8	"	21	"	2 62 1/2	40	4.1	"	43	" 5 37 1/2
14	4.2	"	22	"	2 75	41	4.5	"	25	" 3 12 1/2
15	4.4	"	19	"	2 37 1/2	42	4.5	"	27	" 3 37 1/2
16	4.8	"	24	"	3 00	43	4.4	"	52	" 6 50
17	4.3	"	24	"	3 00	44	3.0	"	52	" 6 50
18	4.4	"	21	"	2 62 1/2	45	3.3	"	26	" 3 25
19	4.4	"	22	"	2 75	46	2.1	"	25	" 3 12 1/2
20	4.3	"	22	"	2 75	47	3.0	"	23	" 2 87 1/2
21	4.5	"	30	"	3 75	48	1.3	"	17	" 2 12 1/2
22	4.6	"	32	"	4 00	49	2.6	"	14	" 1 75
23	4.7	"	32	"	4 00	50	2.6	"	25	" 3 12 1/2
24	4.8	"	32	"	4 00	51	2.3	"	25	" 3 12 1/2
25	4.1	"	29	"	3 62 1/2	52	1.8	"	3	" 6.6 37 12 1/2 4 62 1/2
26	4.5	"	31	"	3 87 1/2	53	2.2	3	7.4	37 " 4 62 1/2

	No. of stations	Depth of cut at bottom of ditch	width at bottom of ditch	width at top	No. cu yards removed	cost per cu yard	cost per station	Station	depth of cut at bottom of ditch	width at bottom of ditch	width at top	No. cu yards removed	cost per cu yard	cost per station
54	21	3	7.2	40	12½	5.00		59	1.6	3	6.2	40	12½	5.00
55	25	3	8.0	45	..	5.62½		60	1.4	3	5.8	26	..	3.25
56	12	3	5.4	31	..	3.87½		61	8	3		9	..	1.12½
57	19	3	6.8	25	..	3.12½						7	..	.87½
58	19	3	6.8	33	..	4.12½								

Total number of cu yard to be removed 1741
 Cost of removal at 12½¢ \$217.62½
 7in tile 936 rd @ 11½ft @ 80¢ 749.30
 6in .. 48 .. 8ft @ 60¢ 29.10
 Laying 1085 rd tile 32.55
 Hauling 1085 108.30
 Filling in Ditch 25.00
 Total cost of construction \$1161.87½

We further specify that from stake 0 to stake 8 the ditch shall be cut 20 in wide and two rows of tile one 7in and one 6in. from stake 8 to 32.80 the ditch shall be 30 in wide with three rows of 7in tile from stake 32+80ft to 51 the ditch shall be dug 38 in wide with four rows of 7in tile. The bottom to be cut smooth and the tile laid in with the joints broken, that the joints of any two adjoining rows will not come together. From stake 51 to 61 the banks to be cut smooth and of uniform slope, the earth excavated therefrom to be deposited not nearer than 2 ft from bank. The ditch from stake 51 to 61 to be an open ditch 3ft wide in bottom side slopes 1 to 1.

1 We would further show that the following
2 persons are entitled to fees for
3 services in the matter as follows, to wit:
4 J. H. Drum Attorney 2 days \$3.00
5 " " Chainman 1 day 1.50
6 Samuel Desrosier Chainman 2 days 2.00

7
8 We also claim fees as commissioners
9 of drainage and engineers in the
10 the matter as follows to wit:
11 James McMullen 5 days \$15.00
12 Samuel B. Wells 5 days 15.00
13 E. F. Cottingham Engineer 3 days 15.00
14 E. F. Cottingham Commissioner 2 days 6.00

STATE OF INDIANA,

Hamilton County, } ss:

We, the undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, swear that we have each personally examined each tract of land assessed for benefits and damages and the whole route of the proposed work, and that such drain is of sufficient capacity to carry, without overflowing, all the water which flows or should flow along such route, that no other lands than those described in this report will be either benefited or damaged by the construction of the proposed work, and that all the statements therein are true and correct, and that all assessments of benefits and injuries set forth therein are correct, just, fair and equitable to all parties concerned, as we verily believe.

Samuel B Wells
James A Mc Mullan
S. D. Hottelgham

Commissioners.

Subscribed and sworn to by said Commissioners, this *7* day
of *Dec*, 188*2*, before me.

J. R. Christian

Clerk Circuit Court.

No. 19747

Report of Viewers
and Engineer, of
Ira A. Rice, et al.
for the Repair of the
Dunn Ditch.

Filed 11th day of May, 1923

Accepted and Approved

This day of 192.....

..... Court

J. S. SHANNON
County Surveyor

STATE OF INDIANA)
(
HAMILTON COUNTY)

IN HAMILTON *Circuit* COURT.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION

OF *Ira A. Rice et al,*

FOR ~~DRAINAGE~~ *the Repair of the Dunn, Ditch,*

Report of drainage commissioners and Engineer,

To the Honorable *Judge* of Hamilton County.

We the undersigned commissioners of drainage and the Engineer,
to whom the above entitled petition for drainage of certain
lands, in *Jackson* ^{*and Adams*} Township, Hamilton County, Indiana, was
referred,

We would respectfully report that we met on the line of said
proposed ~~drain~~ *Ira A Rice* Drain, on the *19th*
Day of *April* 1923, qualified and proceeded to view said
Drain and lands effected thereby,

After a careful investigation of the conditions of said Drain,
We are of the opinion that said Drain should be constructed, and
in the manner set out below in this report and that the same when
completed will improve the public health and will reclaim certain
lands, and will be of public utility, and will benefit several
highways, also that the cost of construction, damages and expense
of effecting said drain will be less than the benefits to the lands
effected by said drainage,

That we have definitely determined the best and cheapest method of
effecting the drainage of such lands, we have fixed the route,
location, and character of said proposed work and have fixed the
same by metes and bounds, courses, distances, descriptions and
guides, and Bench Marks, ~~including Arns and branches~~ so as to
provide for a complete outlet for the drainage of said lands
effected by said proposed work; that we divided the ditch ~~and~~
~~branches~~ into sections of not more than 100 feet in length by
setting stakes at each 100 feet.

The work will be staked out by the Engineer and his stakes must be carefully preserved and followed,

The digging of each and every portion of the ditch must begin at its outlet, or its junction with another tile toward its upper end.

The ditch to be dug along one side of the line ~~Stake~~, stakes, and sufficient distance from them to not disturb them, and shall be dug in a straight and clear manner. In taking out the last draft the blade of the spade must not go deeper than the grade line.

The ditch must be dug accurately and true to the grade at the depth indicated by the figures given by the Engineer, measured from the grade stakes.

The laying of the Tile begin at the lower end and proceed up stream. The tile must be laid as closely as practicable and in a line free from crooks, The piece being turned until the upper closes, unless there is sand or fine silt which is likely to run into the Tile, in which case the lower edge must be laid close and the upper side covered with clay or other material.

When making turns ~~are~~ by other unavoidable reasons, a crack of one fourth inch or more is necessarily left, it must be securely covered with broken pieces of tile, or by other indestructable material, junctions with branch lines must be carefully and securely made.

After the tile have been laid and inspected by the Engineer or his representative, they must be covered with earth excavated from the trench, or borrowed clay or soil, where said trench does not afford sufficient material, the filling shall be to the full depth of trench and in no case less than Two feet in depth over the Tile in New Cuts.

And where said drain is an open channel the filling shall be to the depth of not less than Two feet above the top of the tile, for the full width of said channel, in no case must the tile be covered with sand without other material being first used and in no case will boulders or heavy rock be allowed in the filling if they may in time come in contact with the tile, The ditch contractor must assume all risk from caving in of the ditch, and when each drain is completed it must be free from sand and mud before it will be received and paid for in full, In case it is found impracticable, by reason of bad weather or other unlooked for trouble in digging the ditch or properly laying the tile, to complete the work specified in the contract, the time may be extended as mutually agreed upon by the Contractor and the Engineer.

The Contractor shall use all necessary precautions to secure his work from injury while he is constructing the drain.

All tile and material used in the construction of this drain and its tributaries thereto, included in the requirements of these Specifications, shall be first class in every respect and subject to rigid inspection by the Engineer.

The Engineer shall have authority to lay out and direct the work, and to inspect and supervise the same during the Construction, and completion and to see that it is properly done in accordance with the Specifications and Contract, and his instructions shall be fully carried out.

CONCRETE WORK.

Unless otherwise specified all concrete work included in these Specifications shall be a Mixture of 1-2-3 ; One part Portland Cement, Two parts Sand, and Three parts gravel.

The sand and gravel to be free from dirt, loam and other foreign matter.

The cement, sand and gravel to be thoroughly mixed while dry until it presents an even shade of coloring throughout, then made into a moderately wet mortar, and be immediately placed in the forms for moulding the concrete into shape.

The forms for all concrete work to be neatly constructed from strong materials and shall be true to line, dimensions, and shape given, and in accordance with the Plans hereto attached, and on file in the Surveyors Office, for all the different structures.

REQUIREMENTS.

16

One Row of 16 inch Tile from Sta 0 to Sta 8 + 10,

One Row of 18 inch Tile from Sta 8+10 to " 13+64,

Connecting Box, at Sta 0,

Catch Basin, at Sta 8+10,

Flume, 18 inch diameter from Sta 13+64 to 14+ 14 ,

Connecting Box, Catch Basin, and Flume, to be constructed from concrete in accordance with Specifications for Concrete work, herein written,

Connecting Box; 3' X 4' ^{by 30 inches high, in side measurements} to have 4 inch Walls, 4 inch Bottom, and be covered with a 4 inch reinforced slab of Concrete,

Catch Basin; 3' in diameter, to be circular in form, have 4 inch Walls 4 inch Bottom and Covered with a Perforated reinforced slab of concrete 4 inches thick,

The Capacity of the total Perforations shall be equal to or greater than the Capacity of the Outlet Tile, but no one of said Perforations to exceed $2 \frac{1}{8}$ inches in diameter,

The inlet Tiles and Outlet Tile to be securely in bedded in the concrete Walls of said Basin,

Concrete Flume; from Sta 13+64 to Sta 14+14 there shall be constructed a Concrete Flume 18 inches in inside diameter and 50 feet long,

Over and around the lower End of said Flume shall be built a Rip-Rap Wall, to the height of the level of the top of the ground, and to extend into the ground to a point 18 inches below the bottom of the Tile; the base of the said Wall shall be 4 feet in width and the top One foot wide and 10 feet long, built up of Boulders laid in a manner to key each other and to protect the end of said drain from wash.

LOCATION.

Begin at a point *16* feet ~~East~~ and *582* feet South of the North East corner of the Northwest quarter of Section 18, Township 20, North, Range 3 East, in Hamilton County, Indiana, and Run Thence North 32 degrees 29 minutes East, 200 ; Thence 19 degrees 36 minutes Right 400 feet; Thence 11 degrees 54 minutes Left, 600 feet; Thence 11 degrees 17 minutes Left 214 feet, Total Distance 1414 feet, and terminating in Little ~~Cagle~~ Creek; *462* feet North and *948* feet East of the Southwest corner of the Southeast quarter of Section 7, Township 20 North, Range 4 East, Hamilton County, Ind.

IRA RICH, DITCH.

Sta	Hub CUT.	Qu. Yds.
0	4.00	
1	4.69	36
2	2.37	30
3	8.58	22
4	2.19	20
5	2.69	22
2.32 6	3.32	30
7	4.89	35
8	5.04	40
9	5.52	44
10	5.46	44
11	5.17	44
12	3.97	38
13	4.59	36
14	4.14	36
14+ 18	3.44	4

581

Ira Rice Ditch

NAMES	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS	Section	Township	Range	Acres Assessed		Acres Benefitted		Amount of Benefits		Am't of Assessment		Total Assessment	
					A	Hun	A	Hun	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
Ira Rice	S 1/2 SW SE	7	20	4	20		8		264	00	240	00	240	00
James Rice	E 1/2 NW NE	18	20	4	20		20		110	00	100	00	100	00
Eli N Roberts	pt W 1/2 NW NE	18	20	4	20		20		219	23	199	30		
Do.	E 1/2 NE NW	18	20	4	20		18		64	90	59	00		
Do.	E 1/2 SE NW	18	20	4	20		20		71	94	65	40		
Do.	pt SW NE	18	20	4	20		8		38	93	26	30	350	00
C. M. Roberts	42 A. off W side E 1/2 NW	18	20	4	42		41		135	30	123	00	123	00
W. L. McVany	pt SE NW NW	18	20	4	3		3		11	00	10	00		
Do.	pt SW NW	18	20	4	40		30		107	80	98	00		
Do.	S 1/2 SE NE	13	20	3	20		12		26	40	24	00	132	00
Jennie Lewis	NE SW	18	20	4	40		38		83	60	76	00	76	00
M. M. Ross	NW SW	18	20	4	42 84		42		138	60	126	00	126	00
Chas C Noble	NE SE	13	20	3	40		40		132	00	120	00		
Do.	NE SE SE	13	20	3	10		5		16	50	15	00	135	00
Adams Township	Benefits to Highways 1-N & S Range line.	13 18	20 20	3 4							25	00	25	00
Jackson Township	Benefits to Highways F-E-W Bet	7 8	20 20	4 4							10	00	10	00
														\$ 1317.00

Estimated cost of construction Including,
 Material, Labor, Hauling, etc.,
 Necessary for completion of work in
 accordance with the Plans & Specifications,

Station	to
Station	to
Station	to
Station	to
		Total <i>\$ 1,009.00</i>

Estimated Expenses, Including,
 Attorneys Fee,
 Recording & Releasing,
 Legal Printing,
 Superintendent of Construction,
 Court Expenses etc,
 Contingency fund,

Total *247.63*

We claim for services, expenses & mileage to date for,

<i>Henry Swinn</i> Drainage Commissioner,	10.00
<i>Sylvester Egan</i> , Viewer,	10.00
<i>J. S. Shannon</i> Engineer & Helpers,	40.37
Total, <i>60.37</i>

Grand Total

\$ 1,317.00

STATE OF INDIANA)
HAMILTON COUNTY) SS

We the undersigned Viewers and Engineer,
to whom was referred the above entitled petition for report,
after being duly Sworn upon Oath say that we have personally
examined the whole line of said proposed ditch, that the
assessments herein made and reported are correct, just and
equitable to all parties herein named as interested, that no
other lands will be benefitted or injured by said proposed
drain, and that the above and foregoing report is true in
substance and in fact as we verily believe.

Henry Swinn
.....

Sylvester Chman
.....
VIEWERS.

J. S. Shannon
.....
ENGINEER.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this *11th* day of *May*... 19*23*

J. P. Hill
.....
CLERK OF HAMILTON COUNTY.

COURT OF COMMONS
HAMILTON COUNTY

IN THE MATTER OF THE
IRA RICE DRAIN

No. 19747

No. 19747

12-29-33

IN THE MATTER OF THE
IRA RICE DRAIN

FINAL REPORT

FILED

OCT 21 1933

W. R. FURMAN
CLERK HAMILTON CO. O.

comes now J. S. Cannon, the undersigned, to whom were referred
the possession of the Ira Rice Drain, and filed in the
in the proceedings, and reports:
has with him has been filed in the
of the drainage contract; that the assessment
in the drainage contract have been by the collector
that said funds were disbursed by him as follows:

To Clerk Wood Contractor and the Lumber Co. for the The amount of contract.	
To self and helpers in making report and arrangement of construction and drainage.	10.00
To The Cadys, legal printing.	10.00
To Wade Furness, court costs.	10.00
To Robert & Roberts, Attorneys.	10.00
Total.	\$40.00

That he now requests that this report be approved and that he
be released from further duties in said cause
Respectfully submitted.

STATE OF INDIANA,
SS:
HAMILTON COUNTY,

IN THE HAMILTON CIRCUIT COURT
OCTOBER TERM, 1933.

IN THE MATTER OF THE
IRA RICE DRAIN.

No. 19747.

Comes now J. S. Shannon, the undersigned, to whom was referred the construction of the Ira Rice Drain, and files this as his final report in the proceedings, and reports:


That said drain has been fully completed in accordance with the report of the drainage commissioners; that the assessments as set out in said drainage commissioners report, are in the total sum of \$1,317.00, and that said assessments have been by him collected in full.

That said funds were disbursed by him as follows:

To Clint Wood Contractor and the Jumbo Tile Works, Inc., the amount of contract,	\$1,107.00
To self and helpers in making report and superintendent of construction and expense,	170.53
To The Ledger, legal printing,	15.42
To Wade Furnas, court costs,	14.05
To Roberts & Roberts, Attorneys,	10.00
Total,	\$1,317.00.

That he now requests that this report be approved and that he be released from further duties in said cause.

Respectfully submitted,


Superintendent of Construction.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS 28th. DAY OF SEPTEMBER 1933

My Commission Expires

July 28th. 1936


NOTARY PUBLIC.